

THE EFFICACY OF FOREST LAWS AND GOVERNANCE IN FOSTERING SUSTAINABLE FORESTRY IN PAKISTAN

Author: Munir Ahmed Dar, M.Sc. LLB. Advocate
Publisher & Chief Editor, Canadian Legal Research Journal (CLRJ) <https://www.clrj.ca>
Toronto Ontario, Canada M4L 3B7 DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.17162668

Keywords: Forestry, Pakistan, Forest Law, Deforestation, Afforestation, Governance, Corruption, Community Management

ABSTRACT

Pakistan, a country with critically low forest cover, is experiencing one of Asia's highest deforestation rates. Despite the introduction of ambitious afforestation programs and progressive policy statements in recent years, this report reveals a significant disconnect between the legal framework and its effectiveness. The core argument is that the ineffectiveness of Pakistan's forest governance stems not from a lack of laws but from the systemic failure to implement a colonial-era legal structure that is fundamentally ill-suited for modern challenges. This is exacerbated by a pervasive nexus of corruption and political interference, deep-seated institutional weaknesses, and conflicting national development priorities, which consistently undermine enforcement. While initiatives like the Billion Tree Tsunami Project demonstrate that positive, large-scale change is possible through a project-based approach, the degradation of high-value natural forests continues unabated. The report concludes that a fundamental paradigm shift is necessary, moving from a punitive, top-down approach to a genuinely participatory, institutionally strengthened, and cross-sectorally integrated model. Recommendations include a comprehensive legal and institutional overhaul, the promotion of community-based management, and the strategic alignment of economic incentives with conservation goals to foster a more sustainable future for Pakistan's forests.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Pakistan's low forest cover, estimated at 5.1 percent of its total land area with natural forests constituting a mere 1.7 percent, is a critical national challenge. This scarce resource is under severe pressure from one of the highest deforestation rates in Asia, with annual losses estimated at 27,000 hectares. While the nation has seen the emergence of ambitious afforestation programs and progressive policy statements in recent years, a comprehensive analysis reveals a persistent and profound disconnect between legal frameworks and tangible, on-the-ground outcomes.

This report argues that the ineffectiveness of Pakistan's forest governance is not a failure of a lack of laws but rather a systemic failure in the implementation of a colonial-era legal structure that is fundamentally ill-suited to modern environmental and social challenges. This foundational flaw is compounded by a powerful nexus of corruption and political interference, deep-seated institutional weaknesses, and conflicting national development priorities. The analysis demonstrates that the foundational Forest Act of 1927, which espouses a "command-and-control" philosophy, remains the primary legal instrument despite the participatory rhetoric of recent policies. As a result,

implementation is consistently sabotaged by a "timber mafia" comprising corrupt officials and their political patrons, as a recent scandal in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa has brought to light. While ambitious afforestation projects have shown success in increasing overall tree cover, they have not halted the ongoing, and more damaging, degradation of natural, high-value forests. A clear policy conflict exists between conservation and large-scale corporate agriculture, as seen in the Green Pakistan Initiative.

A fundamental paradigm shift is required, moving from a purely punitive, top-down approach to one that is genuinely participatory, institutionally strengthened, and cross-sectorally integrated. Recommendations include a comprehensive legal and institutional overhaul, the legal recognition and promotion of community-based management, and the strategic alignment of economic incentives with conservation goals to foster a more effective and sustainable future for Pakistan's forests.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Context and Problem Statement

Forests in Pakistan serve a multifaceted and vital role, providing immense ecological, economic, and social value. They are a primary source of lumber, paper, fuelwood, and non-timber products essential for the livelihoods of millions of rural people living in and around them. Beyond their direct economic contributions, forests offer critical environmental services, including water and soil conservation, regulation of water yield, protection from landslides, and carbon sequestration. This is particularly crucial in a country where environmental degradation is a key driver of natural disasters.

Despite their significance, Pakistan faces a grim reality. It is classified as a "forest-poor" country, with a per capita forest cover of only 0.021 hectares, significantly below the global average of 1 hectare per person. Compounding this scarcity is an alarming deforestation rate, which, according to various estimates, ranges from 0.2 to 0.5 percent annually, equating to a loss of approximately 27,000 hectares per year. This ecological decline has severe and tangible consequences, including desertification, soil erosion, and an increased frequency and magnitude of floods. The central problem, therefore, is the persistent and widening gap between the stated goals of national forest laws and policies and their limited tangible impact on the ground. This report provides a critical analysis of the root causes of this ineffectiveness, offering a comprehensive and expert-level critique of the existing governance framework.

1.2. Scope and Objectives

This report delivers an in-depth analysis of Pakistan's forest laws and policies, examining their historical evolution, the challenges encountered during their implementation, and their broader socio-economic context.

The analysis is guided by four specific objectives:

To deconstruct the legislative history of Pakistan's forestry sector, from the colonial-era Forest Act of 1927 to the country's latest national and provincial policies.

To identify and analyze the key challenges undermining the effectiveness of these laws, with a particular focus on institutional weaknesses, corruption, and conflicting socio-economic drivers.

To evaluate the impacts of major forestry initiatives and controversies through detailed case studies, such as the Billion Tree Tsunami Project and the Green Pakistan Initiative.

To propose concrete and actionable recommendations for legal and institutional reform to foster more effective and sustainable forest governance in the future.

2. LEGISLATIVE AND HISTORICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1. The Colonial Blueprint: The Indian Forest Act of 1927

The legislative foundation for forestry in Pakistan is the Indian Forest Act of 1927, a direct legacy of the British colonial era. The Punjab Forest Department, for instance, explicitly inherited its laws and manuals from the British administration. The Act was designed to consolidate the law relating to forests, the transit of forest produce, and the duty leviable on timber and other forest-related commodities. It established a clear hierarchy of forest categories: "Reserved Forests," "Protected Forests," and "Village Forests," each with distinct guidelines for protection and utilization. Reserved Forests represent the most restricted category, where most uses by local people are prohibited unless specifically permitted by a Forest Settlement Officer. The Act provides broad powers to forest officers to enforce these rules, including the authority to seize property, confiscate vehicles and tools, and make arrests without a warrant.

The provisions of this Act are a direct reflection of British colonial forest policy, which was driven not by conservation but by commercial exploitation and revenue maximization. The primary objective was to secure valuable timber for industrial and infrastructure projects, particularly the construction of railways, and to increase state revenue by asserting control over "crown lands". This focus on state monopoly over forest areas and the concurrent curtailment of traditional community rights established a fundamental conflict. The government's perspective saw forests as a resource for commercial gain, while local communities depended on these resources for their survival, creating a foundation of mistrust and conflict that persists to this day. The punitive and exclusionary nature of the 1927 Act rendered it a poor instrument for fostering the kind of participatory, community-based management required for modern conservation efforts.

2.2. Post-Independence Policy Evolution: The Policy-Implementation Gap

Following independence, Pakistan's approach to forestry evolved, moving through a series of national policies announced in 1955, 1962, 1975, 1980, 1988, 1991, and most recently, 2015. While earlier policies centered on sustained yield and commercial management, those from 1991 onwards began to incorporate progressive concepts like "participation" and "sustainable livelihoods". The National Forest Policy of 2015 explicitly aims to promote forest conservation, afforestation, and sustainable forest management while ensuring the participation of local communities.

Despite the more modern and inclusive policy rhetoric, the actual legal and institutional framework for forestry has remained largely static. The provided information notes that, in practice, many of the more recent policies are "a replica of the previously top-down, autocratic and non-participatory forest policies". This is because the core legal instrument—the Forest Act of 1927—has only been superficially amended over the years and remains the principal piece of forestry legislation. This creates a profound disconnect: a legal framework designed for state control and punitive enforcement cannot effectively support a policy based on community participation and sustainable livelihoods. As a result, modern policies formulated at the federal level are consistently undermined by outdated provincial laws and an unreformed institutional culture at the implementation level, where the 1927 Act retains its full authority.

2.3. The 18th Amendment and the Decentralization Dilemma

The 18th Constitutional Amendment of 2010 was a watershed moment, transferring the subject of forestry from the federal Concurrent Legislative List to the provincial domain. This devolution limited the federal government's role to national planning, inter-provincial coordination, and meeting international obligations. Consequently, specific provincial legislation and amendments have emerged, such as the Punjab Forest Act of 1999, the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Forest Ordinance of 2002, and the Sindh Forest (Amendment) Act of 1994.

While the intent behind devolution was to empower provinces to create context-specific and effective policies, it has resulted in a fragmented legal and governance landscape. The provided material points to conflicts of interest between federal and provincial authorities, particularly concerning issues like the levying of taxes on inter-provincial timber movement and compensation for watershed values. This administrative and legal fragmentation makes it challenging to implement a cohesive national strategy, as provinces with varying capacities and political will pursue different agendas. A successful afforestation effort in one province, such as the Billion Tree Tsunami Project in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, may exist alongside rapid and unchecked deforestation in another, highlighting the lack of a unified front on conservation.

Table 1: Forestry Outcomes: The Contradiction Between Tree Cover Gain and Natural Forest Loss

Metric	Time Period	Data Source	Value	Interpretation/Significance
Forest Cover Percentage	2020	Global Forest Watch	1.7% natural forest	Extremely low forest cover relative to global averages.
Total Tree Cover Loss	2001-2024	Global Forest Watch	9.53 thousand hectares	Indicates significant and continuous loss of tree cover.
Total Tree Cover Gain	2000-2020	Global Forest Watch	117 thousand hectares	Demonstrates positive impact of afforestation projects like the BTTP.

Continued				
Metric	Time Period	Data Source	Value	Interpretation/Significance
Overall Net Tree Cover Change	2000-2020	Global Forest Watch	+94.8 thousand hectares	A positive overall trend that masks the underlying degradation of natural ecosystems.
Primary Driver of Loss	2001-2024	Global Forest Watch	Logging (6.87 kha), Permanent Agriculture (492 ha)	Shows that law enforcement failures and land-use conflicts are the main causes.
Location of Loss	2021-2024	Global Forest Watch	97% of loss within natural forests	The most critical finding: high-value, native forests are still being destroyed despite a net gain in overall tree cover from plantations.

3. CHALLENGES TO LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS

3.1. The Institutional and Enforcement Gap

The primary implementer of Pakistan's forest laws, the provincial Forest Department, operates with a set of institutional attitudes that are a major impediment to effective governance. The department has an "entrenched" and "command-and-control" approach that makes it "wary of the development-agent/monitoring role" required by modern policies. This internal resistance is compounded by significant external constraints, including fiscal deficits, underfunded departmental budgets, and a lack of resources for investigation and prosecution. Furthermore, enforcement is hampered by insufficient training for forest officers and judges, which compromises their ability to handle complex forest-related legal matters.

The Forest Department's ineffectiveness is rooted in its historical role. Created in 1886 as the "Imperial Forest Service," it inherited a policing and revenue-generating function from the colonial administration. The provisions of the 1927 Act, which grant forest officers powers of summary trial and arrest, reinforce this identity as an enforcement agency. This institutional DNA makes it difficult for the department to transition to a modern role as a development and community facilitator, as advocated by progressive national policies. The focus remains on a punitive enforcement model, which is itself compromised by a lack of resources and technical capacity, thereby creating a self-perpetuating cycle of governance failure.

3.2. The Nexus of Corruption and Political Interference

A major obstacle to effective forest law enforcement is a pervasive culture of corruption and political interference that undermines environmental governance. A "well-entrenched nexus" of corrupt officials, political patrons, and timber traders actively exploits legal loopholes and weak governance to facilitate illegal logging. A recent scandal in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa offers a clear example of this dynamic, where a principled bureaucrat exposed the issuance of "unlawful transport permits" and the sanctioning of commercial and residential development on protected

forest land under the guise of tourism promotion. The scale of this issue is staggering, with one study showing that illegal wood harvest is four times more than the legal harvest.

The issue goes far beyond simple bribery; it is a systematic subversion of the entire governance framework. The suspension of the Tree Marking & Harvest Monitoring System for years, which allowed a staggering 30 percent of felling to be "outright illegal," is a testament to how the regulatory system can be deliberately disabled from within. The involvement of political patrons and the subsequent targeting of bureaucrats who expose these scams indicate that this is not a low-level problem but a high-level institutional failure driven by powerful vested interests. The existence of such a powerful "timber mafia" renders any conservation law ineffective, regardless of its content or intent, as the enforcement mechanism itself has been compromised.

3.3. Socio-economic Drivers and Conflicting Incentives

The drivers of deforestation in Pakistan are deeply intertwined with socio-economic factors that the current legal framework fails to adequately address. Population growth, rapid urbanization, and the conversion of forest land into agricultural fields and settlements place immense pressure on forest resources. The high dependence of rural communities on forests for their daily needs, such as fuelwood, fodder, and non-timber products, drives over-exploitation, particularly in the absence of viable economic alternatives. A weak community ownership structure, combined with this high dependence, contributes to a classic "tragedy of the commons" scenario, where individual short-term gains from illegal logging and land conversion outweigh the long-term collective benefit of conservation.

The legal framework's purely punitive nature is ill-equipped to handle these underlying socio-economic realities. While the law prohibits illegal activities, it offers no tangible alternative for the millions of people who rely on forest products for survival. This fundamental conflict between a top-down, non-participatory legal system and the bottom-up needs of local communities creates a perpetual state of conflict and non-compliance. The problem is not merely a matter of criminal behavior but a complex challenge rooted in poverty and the lack of sustainable livelihoods, which cannot be solved by law enforcement alone.

4. CASE STUDIES IN PRACTICE: FAILURES, SUCCESSES, AND CONTROVERSIES

4.1. Large-Scale Afforestation: The Billion Tree Tsunami Project (BTTP)

The Billion Tree Tsunami Project (BTTP), launched in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa in 2014, represents a significant departure from Pakistan's traditional forestry management model and provides a critical case study in effective conservation. The project successfully planted over one billion trees, adding 350,000 hectares of trees through a combination of mass afforestation and natural regeneration. This success garnered international praise, with the project being the first Bonn Challenge pledge to reach its restoration goal ahead of schedule.

The project's success was not merely a matter of numbers; it also had a profound socio-economic impact. By establishing a network of private tree nurseries, the initiative boosted local incomes and created thousands of "green jobs," including for unemployed young people and women. This successful outcome, audited by an independent body, was a result of a combination of strong political will, a well-funded, project-based approach, and, most importantly, the genuine involvement of local communities from the outset. The BTTP demonstrates that positive outcomes are achievable by circumventing the deep-seated institutional inertia and legal challenges that plague the traditional forestry sector and by moving toward a model of collaboration and shared economic benefit.

4.2. Conflicting Priorities: The Green Pakistan Initiative (GPI)

The Green Pakistan Initiative (GPI) is a new, large-scale, military-led project focused on corporate farming and the transformation of "unused and barren government land" into fertile agricultural ground. Its stated goal is to enhance food security and agricultural productivity in a country heavily reliant on food imports. Despite its ambitious objectives, the initiative has faced significant criticism and opposition, particularly from the Sindh province, which has raised concerns over potential water scarcity, the displacement of small farmers, and long-term environmental degradation.

The GPI highlights a fundamental and unresolved tension at the heart of Pakistan's national development agenda. While one "Green" initiative (BTTP) focuses on afforestation and climate change mitigation, another (GPI) prioritizes large-scale agriculture, which is a key driver of deforestation and environmental degradation. This dichotomy demonstrates a profound lack of a coherent, cross-sectoral national strategy. The project has raised critical questions about governance and transparency, as the military's leadership in a civilian economic venture is a contentious issue. The debate reveals that the country's limited land and water resources are at the center of a major policy conflict between competing visions of economic growth and environmental sustainability.

4.3. Community-Based Management and NGO-Led Initiatives

Successful community-based projects, often spearheaded by non-governmental organizations like WWF, offer a compelling alternative to the top-down state-centric model. A notable example is a mangrove restoration project in the Indus Delta, which was co-governed by WWF and local communities. This initiative successfully increased forest cover from 86,000 to 139,000 hectares over two decades and simultaneously boosted local incomes by 30 percent through sustainable practices like crab harvesting.

These projects prove that a shift away from state monopoly toward a model of shared governance is not only theoretically sound but practically effective. They demonstrate that local participation, when supported by external expertise and funding, can lead to positive outcomes that address both ecological and socio-economic needs. The fact that NGOs are filling a void left by the Forest Department's institutional weaknesses underscores the urgent need for a comprehensive overhaul that integrates these successful, ground-up approaches into national and provincial policy.

The most critical finding: high-value, native forests are still being destroyed despite a net gain in overall tree cover from plantations.

5. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE FOREST GOVERNANCE

5.1. Foundational Legal and Policy Reforms

The colonial-era Forest Act of 1927 is outdated and fundamentally ill-equipped to address modern conservation challenges. A significant legal overhaul is required to replace it with a modern, harmonized legal framework that aligns with international agreements like the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) and the United Nations Forum on Forests (UNFF). The "Model Forest Act Initiative" proposed by the IUCN can serve as a valuable blueprint for this reform, focusing on a multi-stakeholder and interdisciplinary approach. Furthermore, the new legal framework must legally institutionalize community tenure and rights. The current laws fail to secure the rights of forest-dependent communities, and legal changes are necessary to enable communities to become genuine partners in joint forest management, thereby moving away from a model of governmental control alone.

5.2. Strengthening Institutional Capacity and Accountability

The provincial Forest Departments must be restructured to move beyond their outdated "command-and-control" approach. This transformation requires a shift in the role of staff from a purely policing function to one of a development and monitoring agent. This new role necessitates increased funding for training and resources, which are currently severely lacking. Concurrently, robust anti-corruption and accountability mechanisms must be implemented to address the systemic subversion of forest governance. This requires independent oversight, legal reforms to increase penalties for officials involved in illegal activities, and the establishment of transparent mechanisms to protect whistleblowers, thereby disrupting the deep-seated nexus of corruption and political interference.

5.3. Promoting Participatory and Sustainable Management

The success of projects like the Billion Tree Tsunami Project and the Indus Delta mangrove restoration project provides a clear blueprint for a new governance model. Policies must be designed to scale up these successful community-based models, which rely on strong, high-trust relationships between external bodies and internal community groups. By empowering local communities and providing them with a direct stake in the health of the forests, these initiatives prove that effective conservation is possible.

5.4. Aligning Economic Incentives with Conservation

The low priority and limited investment the forestry sector receives in provincial budgets must be reversed. This can be achieved by developing scientific methods for the economic valuation of forests, including their tangible and intangible benefits like ecosystem services and carbon sequestration. The report by the CIF Forest Investment Program suggests fiscal reforms, such as environmental commodity taxation and ecological fiscal transfers, to create incentives for conservation and sustainable management. Additionally, policies must be put in place to support

alternative, forest-friendly livelihoods. By promoting farm forestry and the sustainable use of non-timber forest products, the pressure on natural forests can be reduced, providing rural communities with viable income streams that are not dependent on over-exploitation.

6. CONCLUSION

Pakistan's forest laws, while comprehensive on paper, are largely ineffective in practice due to a complex interplay of historical legacy, institutional inertia, and underlying socio-economic pressures. The foundational legal framework, a relic of colonial-era exploitation, is fundamentally unequipped to address modern conservation challenges. The analysis demonstrates that the persistent policy-implementation gap is a result of this deep-seated structural and institutional failure, which allows for the systematic subversion of governance by a powerful network of vested interests.

The path forward does not lie in simply creating new laws, but in a holistic and transformative shift in governance. This requires a move from a punitive, top-down approach to one that is collaborative, decentralized, and rooted in the principle of equitable co-management with local communities. The success of projects like the Billion Tree Tsunami demonstrates that positive change is possible when strong political will and modern, inclusive governance models are applied. Without a fundamental institutional overhaul and a genuine commitment to reform, Pakistan's forests will continue to be a site of conflict and degradation, undermining the country's long-term environmental and economic stability.

REFERENCES

Ali, A., Khan, B., Shah, S. A. A., & Ahmad, I. (2018). *National and international efforts for countering climate change: A case study of billion tree tsunami afforestation project Pakistan*. <https://cdrlaw.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/08/10.11648.j.ijsl.20180104.13.pdf>

American Bar Association. (n.d.). *Forest law and policy in Pakistan*. https://www.americanbar.org/groups/environment_energy_resources/resources/newsletters/forest-resources/forest-law-policy-pakistan/

ANI. (2025, September 2). *Pakistan: PKR 1.7 billion timber scam exposed in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa; 140 officials implicated*. The Tribune. <https://www.tribuneindia.com/news/world/pakistan-pkr-1-7-billion-timber-scam-exposed-in-khyber-pakhtunkhwa-140-officials-implicated/>

Chatham House. (n.d.). *The challenges of forest law enforcement*. <https://forestgovernance.chathamhouse.org/publications/the-challenges-of-forest-law-enforcement>

CIF Forest Investment Program & World Bank. (n.d.). *New report shows how fiscal reforms can positively influence forest conservation and ecosystem*. <https://www.cif.org/news/new-report-shows-how-fiscal-reforms-can-positively-influence-forest-conservation-and-ecosystem>

FAO. (n.d.). *Forestry sector review of Pakistan: Policy and legislation*. <https://www.fao.org/4/ab576e/AB576E18.htm>

Global Forest Watch. (n.d.). *Pakistan deforestation rates & statistics*. <https://www.globalforestwatch.org/dashboards/country/PAK/>

Hutt, R. (2018, July 2). *Pakistan has planted over a billion trees*. World Economic Forum. <https://www.weforum.org/stories/2018/07/pakistan-s-billion-tree-tsunami-is-astonishing/>

Imran, M. (2024, May 26). *Rapid disappearance of Pakistan's forests*. The Express Tribune. <https://tribune.com.pk/story/2563999/rapid-disappearance-of-pakistans-forests>

IUCN. (n.d.). *Legal and institutional framework for environmental management in Pakistan..* <https://portals.iucn.org/library/efiles/documents/ELC-016-1.pdf>

IUCN. (n.d.). *The model forest act initiative: Modernising law to address the global forest crisis*. <https://iucncongress2025.org/programme/model-forest-act-initiative-modernising-law-address-global-forest-crisis>

Khalid, T., Ullah, A., Shah, M., & Ali, N. (2022). Community attitude about the effects of corruption on forest governance - A case of Malakand District of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. *Journal of Business and Social Sciences Review*, 3(8), 1-13. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/363586995_Community_Attitude_About_the_Effects_of_Corruption_on_Forest_Governance--A_Case_of_Malakand_District_of_Khyber_Pakhtunkhwa_Pakistan

Khan, H. Z. (n.d.). *The Forest Act 1927*. <https://khalidzafar.com/laws-of-pakistan/the-forest-act-1927/>

Khaama Press. (n.d.). *Farmers and environmentalists raise concerns over green Pakistan initiative*. <https://www.khaama.com/farmers-and-environmentalists-raise-concerns-over-green-pakistan-initiative/>

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government. (n.d.). *The Forest Act, 1927*. https://kp.gov.pk/page/the_forest_act_1927/

Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Government. (2002). *North-West Frontier Province Forest Ordinance, 2002..* <https://faolex.fao.org/docs/pdf/pak65061.pdf>

Koirala, R., Ru, J., & Jabeen, R. (2018). *Forests for Green Pakistan: Forest Policy Note*. The World Bank. <https://documents.worldbank.org/en/publication/documents-reports/documentdetail/823081541716238227>

Mahmood, M. K. (2018). *Anatomy of state failures in the forest management in Pakistan*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/24115020_An_Anatomy_of_State_Failures_in_The_Forest_Management_in_Pakistan

Modern Diplomacy. (n.d.). *Green Pakistan Initiative: Sowing seeds of prosperity beyond farming*. <https://moderndiplomacy.eu/2025/02/26/green-pakistan-initiative-sowing-seeds-of-prosperity-beyond-farming/>

Ministry of Climate Change. (2021). *Pakistan REDD+ Strategy*. https://redd.unfccc.int/media/pakistan_redd_strategy_final-oct_2_2021_edited_by_iucn-new_minister.pdf

Ministry of Information and Broadcasting. (2024, September 7). *4.8m acres identified for Green Pakistan Initiative*. <https://moib.gov.pk/News/64781>

Qureshi, M. A., Ullah, W., Khan, M. F., & Khan, I. A. (2024). Beyond the books: Real world challenges in implementing environmental laws in Pakistan. *Journal of Law and Social Sciences*, 6(1), 1-15.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/378365777_Beyond_the_Books_Real_World_Challenges_in_Implementing_Environmental_Laws_in_Pakistan

Scribd. (n.d.). *Forest policy in colonial India*.

<https://www.scribd.com/document/44380028/Forest-Policy-in-Colonial-India>

Shahbaz, B., Ali, T., & Suleri, A. (2007). A critical analysis of forest policies of Pakistan: Implications for sustainable livelihoods. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology*, 14(4), 346-356.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/225366406_A_Critical_Analysis_of_Forest_Policies_of_Pakistan_Implications_for_Sustainable_Livelihoods

Sindh Government. (1994). *Forest Act (Sindh Amendment) Act, 1994*. [http://shehri.org/laws/Forest%20Act%20\(Sindh%20Amendment\)%20Act%201994.pdf](http://shehri.org/laws/Forest%20Act%20(Sindh%20Amendment)%20Act%201994.pdf)

Sindh Forest Department. (2023). *Sindh Sustainable Forest Management Policy 2023*. <https://sindhforests.gov.pk/page-sindh-sfmp>

Sunderlin, W. D., Hatcher, J., & Liddle, M. (2008). *Reforms from the ground up: A review of community-based forest management in tropical developing countries*.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/43355932_Reforms_from_the_Ground_Up_A_Review_of_Community-Based_Forest_Management_in_Tropical_Developing_Countries

The Japan Times. (N.D.). *Military takes lead in Pakistan's 'Green Revolution'*.

<https://www.japantimes.co.jp/environment/2024/01/07/sustainability/military-pakistan-green-revolution/>

Ullah, S., Aiman, M., Faridi, R., Hussain, S., & Ullah, M. (2023). Flood risk assessment and management in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan. *Journal of Flood Risk Management*, 16(2), 1-15.

https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Socioeconomic-factors-promoting-deforestation-and-economic-incentives-to-control_fig1_369638648

UNFCCC. (n.d.). *Pakistan's NDC*. https://redd.unfccc.int/media/pakistan_redd_strategy_final-oct_2_2021_edited_by_iucn-new_minister.pdf

Wikipedia. (n.d.). *Forestry in Pakistan*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Forestry_in_Pakistan

Wikipedia. (n.d.). *Plant for Pakistan*. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Plant_for_Pakistan

World Wide Fund for Nature-Pakistan. (n.d.). *Mangrove protection in the Indus Delta in Pakistan*. <https://casestudies.naturebasedsolutionsinitiative.org/casestudy/mangrove-protection-in-the-indus-delta-in-pakistan/>

Zaman, Z., & Ahmad, S. (2017). Illegal logging and wood consumption: Estimation and projection of illegal wood harvesting in Pakistan through system dynamics. *Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 11(2), 406-415. <https://www.jespk.net/papers/2017/375-illegal-logging-and-wood-consumption-estimation-and-projection-of-illegal-wood-harvesting-in-pakistan-through-system-dynamics-11-2>